

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/913,118 | 11/05/2001 | Wolfgang Rasp | 146154.00018 | 4936 |
| 7590 04/06/2004 | | | EXAMINER | |
| Thomas T. Moga | | | MULCAHY, PETER D | |
| Dickinson Wright PLLC 1901 L Street N.W. Suite 800 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| Washington, DC 20036 | | | 1713 | |

DATE MAILED: 04/06/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | | \mathcal{K} |
|--|--|---|---------------|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 09/913,118 | RASP ET AL. | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Peter D. Mulcahy | 1713 | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication ap | pears on the cover sheet with the | correspondence address | |
| Period for Reply | VIC SET TO EVDIDE 2 MONTH | (S) EDOM | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status | 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti bly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da I will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron te, cause the application to become ABANDONI | mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12. | January 200 <u>4</u> . | | |
| 2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ This | s action is non-final. | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allows closed in accordance with the practice under | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-10 and 13-25 is/are pending in the | application. | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra | awn from consideration. | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | |
| 6) Claim(s) <u>1-10,13-25</u> is/are rejected. | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | as alastian requirement | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/ | or election requirement. | | |
| Application Papers | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examin | | P | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | .xammer. Note the attached Office | Action of format 10 102. | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign | en priority under 25 LLS C & 110/ | a) (d) or (f) | |
| a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a lis 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the company of the foreign language pri 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes reference was included in the first sentence of the company of the co | nts have been received. Ints have been received in Application or the documents have been received in Application (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). Into of the certified copies not receive the priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 irst sentence of the specification of the covisional application has been restic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 | tion No red in this National Stage red. (e) (to a provisional application) or in an Application Data Sheet. ceived. 0 and/or 121 since a specific | |
| Attachment(s) | n□ | /DTO 440) D (N-/-) | |
| Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | 5) Notice of Informal | y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152) | |

Application/Control Number: 09/913,118

Art Unit: 1713

1. Claims3 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

- 2. Claim 3 depends from itself.
- 3. Claim 10 has the language "preferably..." This is indefinite.
- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1-10,13-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over EP 669,365.

The rejection as set forth under 35 USC 103 in paper # 10 is deemed proper and is herein repeated. Applicants newly amended claims and the remarks filed in support thereof have been fully considered but have found not persuasive.

Applicants point out that the prior art shows the mica treated with alcohol and in a sand mill. It is argued that this is a wet grinding process contrasted with a dry grinding process. The examiner acknowledges the reference example showing the mica in alcohol. It is maintained however that the art is not limited to this process and is open to other mica species. The examples show many different mica species and the mica of reference example is only used a few of the working examples. Further, many of the claims presented are not limited to dry ground mica. As such it is not clear that a wet

Application/Control Number: 09/913,118

Art Unit: 1713

ground mica would, necessarily, have a regular surface structure, and be excluded by the language of claim 1. Moreover, the EP is not seen to be limited so as to exclude mica having an irregular surface structure.

6. Claims 1-10 and 14-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Middlesworth et al.

The rejection as set forth under 35 USC 103 in paper # 10 is deemed proper and is herein repeated. Applicants newly amended claims and the remarks filed in support thereof have been fully considered but have found not persuasive.

Applicants argue that this reference is remote because it does not deal with laser marking or polypropylene films. This is not persuasive. The claims rejected are not limited to laser marking in any way. Polypropylene films are suggested at col. 7 lines 25-30. In view of this disclosure, the rejection is herein maintained.

7. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

PRIMARY EXAMINER